Da	ate of Completion	12/14/2011		i
St	ate:Michig	gan	Fiscal Year to which cred	lit applies:2012
	Overall Report Two-parent Report	_X_ (check one)	Apply the overall credit to the participation rate?	e two-parent yes no
			Changes Made Since FY 2005 ection for EACH change)	
1.	Name of eligibility char	nge:		
		ram as a condition of elig	ls applying for eash assistance be reibility was suspended effective 6/1/	
2.	Implementation date of	eligibility change:		1
		nediately referred to Work instated 5/2/2007.	First program as a condition of elig	gibility was suspended
3.	Description of policy, in	ncluding the change from	prior policy:	
		n upon application. Previ	ation and Training (JET) clients mulious policy had temporarily elimina	

OMB Control No.: 0970-0338 Expiration

Expiration Date: 07/31/2014

Date of C	ompletion12/14/	/2011				
State:	State:Michigan		Fiscal Year to which credit applies:2012			
	otion of the methodology us supporting materials to this		e estimated impact of this	eligibility change		
•	There is no longer a caselo	ad impact which c	an be attached to this poli	cy.		
				1:		
			!	A STATE OF THE STA		
		,		<u>:</u>		
				;		
				1.		
5. Esumau	ed average monthly impact	t of this engionity	change on caseload in cor	mparison year:N/A		
				y		
			t.	r e		
				1 1		
				\$. 		

Da	ate of (Completion12/14/2011	
St	ate: _	Michigan	Fiscal Year to which credit applies:2012
1.	Name	of change:	, :
	•	A solely state-funded group of cases v	vas created.
2.	Imple	mentation date of eligibility change:	
	•	10/1/2006	
3.	Descri	ption of policy, including the change fr	om prior policy:
	•	parent families and cases in which the	ded group of cases was created. This group is comprised of two-adult is incapacitated greater than 90 days. It is not a separate cluded with the caseload count under SSP for counting and

Date of Completion12/14/2	011	•	· :
State:Michigan		Fiscal Year to which credit	applies:2012_
4. Description of the methodology used (attach supporting materials to this f	1 to calculate the (orm)	estimated impact of this eligibili	ty change:
• These cases will be added ba	ck into the caselo	ad count on the TANF workshee	et.
			1 .
	ı		ŧ
			:
			1
		•	
			:
. Estimated average monthly impact o	f this eligibility cl	hange on caseload in comparison	ı year:
There were 13,349 state-fund	led cases in FY 20	011	
			;
			:
			;
,			

Date o	f Completion	12/14/2011	,				
State:	Michig	an	Fiscal Y	ear to whic	h credit a	pplies:	2012
1. Nan	ne of eligibility chan	ge:					-
,	• 45 CFR-Reautho	rization of TANF-Fin	nal Rule				
ı	• Part 261.43 (2)						:
,	 A state that is inv basic MOE need statute. 	vesting State MOE fur only include the pro	nds in eligible fami rata share of caselo	lies in exces ads receiving	s of the requ g assistance	uired 75 c as requir	or 80 percent ed by
2. Imp	lementation date of o	eligibility change:					
•	• Application of a	federal regulation, no	t an eligibility chan	ige.			
3. Des	cription of policy, in	cluding the change fr	om prior policy:				į
•	N/A		;		· :	· · · · · ·	
				•	 V.	** ** \$ -2	, Ì

OMB Control No.: 0970-0338 E

Expiration Date: 07/31/2014

Pate of Co	ompletion12/14/	/2011					
State:Michigan		Fiscal Year to whic	h credit applies:2012	2			
. Descript (attach s	tion of the methodology us supporting materials to this	sed to calculate the form)	ulate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:				
• \$	See MOE worksheet.			•			
				\			
				8			
				* 9			
		•					
Estimate	ed average monthly impact	of this eligibility	change on caseload in co	mnarison voar N/A			
		or this originality		miparison year. 1471			
			·				

OMB Control No.: 0970-0338

Expiration Date: 07/31/2014

Date of	Completion12/14/2011_			
State: _	Michigan	Fiso	cal Year to which cre	dit applies:2012
1. Nan	ne of eligibility change:	State Scatter		Afternation and the second
•	Effective 7/1/2011, Michigan implementation Audit. Adoption Subsidy (AS) and excluded income from the cash assemble the audit finding, Michigan change noted here as a procedural change, the caseload reduction.	d Guardianship A sistance program ed its procedure to	ssistance Program (GA) budget to determine finate include these incomes.	P) income was being ancial eligibility. Due to This change is being
2. Impl	ementation date of eligibility change:			
•	N/A			
3. Desc	ription of policy, including the chang	e from prior polic	ey:	
•	N/A		1	14.5
			e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	1
		·		r r
			e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e)

OMB Control No.: 0970-0338 Expiration Date: 07/31/2014

184 6

tate:Mich	igan	Fiscal Year to which credit applies:	_2012_
. Description of the me (attach supporting ma	thodology used to calculate the terials to this form)	estimated impact of this eligibility change:	
• N/A			
		;	
		, ,	
	:		
	. :		
Estimated average mo	nthly impact of this eligibility	change on caseload in comparison year: N/A	
		·	

Date of Completion	
State:	Fiscal Year to which credit applies:

PART 2 - Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit

• Please see attached excel worksheets.

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT Excess MOE Worksheet

	A	В	C	D	E	F
1	Michigan			Fiscal Year to which cred	it applies:	2012
2				Date of Co	mpletion:	12/14/2011
3		Excess	MOE	Calculation Worksheet		
4						
5	Caseload Data			Expenditure Data		
6	FY 2005 TANF Caseload	80,595		Total Expenditures		
7	FY 2005 SSP Caseload	0		FY 2011 Total Federal Expenditure	9S ;	\$843,709,953
8	Total FY 2005 Caseload	80,595		FY 2011 Total MOE Expenditures		\$711,509,889
9	FY 2011 TANF Caseload	70,055		Total Expenditures (Federal + Me	OE)	\$1,555,219,842
10	FY 2011 SSP Caseload	13,349				
11	Total FY 2011 Caseload	83,404		Assistance Expenditures		TO THE THE SECOND COMMUNICATION OF THE SECOND COMUNICATION OF THE SECOND COMMUNICATION OF THE SECOND COMMUNICATION
12				FY 2011 Federal Expenditures on Ass	istance	\$273,451,552
13	2-Parent Caseload Data			FY 2011 MOE Expenditures on Assista	ance	\$92,867,719
14	FY 2005 2-p TANF Caseload	0		Total Expenditures on Assistance (F	ederal + MOE)	\$366,319,271
15	FY 2005 2-p SSP Caseload	0		Percentage of Expenditures on Assista	ance	23.55%
16	Total FY 2005 Caseload	0		·		
17	FY 2011 2-p TANF Caseload	0		Expenditures Per Case		
18	FY 2011 2-p SSP Caseload	0		Average Expenditures per Case		\$18,647
19	Total FY 2011 Caseload	0		Average Expenditures per Case on As	sistance	\$4,392
20						
21				MOE and Excess MOE		
22				Required MOE (80% or 75%);		\$499,752,934
23				Excess MOE Expenditures		\$211,756,955
24				Excess MOE Expenditures on Assistar	nce	\$49,877,613
25	Adjusted Caseload Data	,				
26	Adjusted FY 2011 Overall Caseload	72,048		Assistance Cases Funded by	Excess MOE	11,356
27	Adjusted FY 2011 2-parent Caseload	0		2-Parent Assistance Cases Funde	d by Excess MOE	0
28	11/00/00/00/00/00/00/00/00/00/00/00/00/0					£
29						
30					-	
31	Note: Michigan included ARRA funds fo	r FY 2011 1	Total F	ederal Expenditures and Federal E	xpenditures on As	sistance.
32	Instructions and Worksheet did not spe	cify what to	do wi	th this money.		

FORM ACF-202 - TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT Overall Credit

	A	В	С	D	E	F
1	Michigan			Fiscal Year to which credit applies:		2012
2			Ĭ	Date of Completion:	12/14/2011	
3	PART 2	– Estima	ate d	of Caseload Reduction Credit		
4	lucino et ef All Ole					*****
5	Impact of All Changes		\perp	Caseload Reduction Calculation		
6	All changes listed on ACF-202 had			FY 2005 TANF Caseload	80,595	
7	0 impact. The impact of the state-	and the same of th		FY 2005 SSP Caseload		
	funded group is listed in FY 2011			Total FY 2005 Caseload	80,595	
9	SSP Caseload.			FY 2011 TANF Caseload ,	70,055	
10				FY 2011 SSP Caseload	13,349	
11				Total FY 2011 Caseload	83,404	
12				Excess MOE Cases in FY 2011	11,356	
13				Adjusted FY 2011 Caseload	72,048	
14				Caseload Decline	8,547	10.6%
15	Control of the second			Decline – Net Impact	8,547	
16				-		7.07.00.0
17				Caseload Reducti	on Credit =	10.6%
18						
19	The second of th					
20				4		***************************************
21						
22						
23			4	-		VII.
24						
25				VVICENT		
26	Net Impact	C)		f : 1	
27					1 1 2	
28				i		
'						"